

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 07/14/2000 09/615,663 Rohit Khare 004962.P001 6238 7590 11/05/2004 EXAMINER HU, JINSONG

Jan Carol Little BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 7th Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025

2154

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/615,663	KHARE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jinsong Hu	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (; riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	9 August 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ ⁻	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,10-12,16,25-27,32,36-38,42 a</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,10-12,16,25-27,32,36-38,42 a</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration. and 77-92 is/are rejected.	application.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/N		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 6, 10-12, 16, 25-27, 32, 36-38, 42 and 77-92 are presented for examination. Claims 2-5, 7-9, 13-15, 17-24, 28-31, 33-35, 39-41 and 43-76 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 77-92 are newly added claims. Claims 1, 6, 10, 27, 32, 36-38 and 42 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 6 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kamakura et al. (US 6,047,310).
- 4. As per claim 1, Kamakura teaches the invention as claimed including a method comprising:

monitoring and detecting publication of information [col. 5, lines 55-56; col. 7, lines 13-25];

Application/Control Number: 09/615,663

Art Unit: 2154

organizing the detected information into a set of topics [Figs. 6-7; col. 8, lines 15-26];

Page 3

facilitating a user to persistently subscribe to information based on at least one topic selected from among the set of topics [col. 6, lines 16-20; s21-s24, Fig. 9];

routing information to the user based on the organization and subscription [col. 9, line 44 – line 12; col. 11, lines 16-41; col. 14, lines 34-57]; and

pseudo-synchronously delivering the information to the user on a client system [col. 10, lines 14-52 col. 14, lines 34-57].

- 5. As per claim 6, Kamakura teaches organizing information into non-events, alerts, messages, queries, data, data contents, streams or queues [Fig. 6; col. 8, lines 15-26].
- 6. As per claims 11 and 12, Kamakura teaches facilitating a user to persistently subscribe to a web resource based on a universal source locator, emails address, a name or a location and to specify a level of interest in the web user [col. 8, lines 27-47].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set. forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/615,663 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

8. Claims 10, 16, 25-27, 32, 36-38, 42 and 77-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamakura et al. (US 6,178,424 B1) as applied to claims 1, 6 and 11-12 above, in view of "Official Notice".

- 9. As per claims 10 and 83-84, Kamakura teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Kamakura dose not specifically teach organizing events based on URL. "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing for URL is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art include URL with Kamakura's system because it would make the organizing procedure less complicated.
- 10. As per claim 16, Kamakura teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Additionally, Kamakura teaches the step of registering a user's device and establishing preferences for routing information to the user [col. 10, lines 30-38].
- 11. Kamakura does not specifically teach the user's device including a pager, cellular telephone etc. "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing for pager, cellular telephone etc. devices are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art include pager, cellular telephone etc. devices with Kamakura's system because it would improve the capability of the system.

Application/Control Number: 09/615,663

Art Unit: 2154

12. As per claims 25 and 26, Kamakura teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Kamakura does not specifically teach the client system having an embedded micro-server. "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing for embedded micro-server is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art include embedded microserver with Kamakura's system because it would improve the performance of the entire system.

Page 5

13. As per claims 27, 77-79, 82 and 85, Kamakura teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method comprising:

monitoring and detecting publication of information [col. 5, lines 55-56; col. 7, lines 13-25];

organizing the detected information into at least one event and routing the event to a set of topics [Figs. 6-7; col. 8, lines 15-26; col. 9, line 44 - line 12; col. 11, lines 16-41; col. 14, lines 34-57];

facilitating at least one client to persistently subscribe to information based on at least one topic selected from among the set of topics [col. 6, lines 16-20; s21-s24, Fig. 9]; and

delivering the event via the network information to the client immediately upon detection based on a subscription to a topic, wherein delivering the event to the client is initiated by server, and wherein the client does not include a programmed request

Art Unit: 2154

and/or a poll for the event [col. 2, lines 17-38; col. 10, lines 14-52 col. 14, lines 34-57; col. 18, line 59 – col. 19, line 10].

- 14. Kamakura does not specifically teach the network is Internet. "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing for Internet is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art include Internet with Kamakura's system because it would increase the efficiency and reliability of the system.
- 15. As per claim 32, Kamakura teaches organizing information into non-events, alerts, messages, queries, data, data contents, streams or queues [Fig. 6; col. 8, lines 15-26].
- 16. As per claims 36-38, Kamakura teaches facilitating a user to persistently subscribe to a web resource based on a universal source locator, emails address, a name or a location and to specify a level of interest in the web user [col. 8, lines 27-47].
- 17. As per claims 42 and 80-81, Kamakura teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claim 27. Kamakura does not specifically teach the client system having an embedded micro-server. "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing for embedded micro-server is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art include embedded micro-

Application/Control Number: 09/615,663 Page 7

Art Unit: 2154

server with Kamakura's system because it would improve the performance of the entire system.

- 18. As per claims 86-92, Kamakura teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method comprising monitoring and detecting publication of information [col. 5, lines 55-56; col. 7, lines 13-25]; organizing the detected information into at least one event and routing the event to a set of topics [Figs. 6-7; col. 8, lines 15-26; col. 9, line 44 line 12; col. 11, lines 16-41; col. 14, lines 34-57]; facilitating at least one client to persistently subscribe to information based on at least one topic selected from among the set of topics [col. 6, lines 16-20; s21-s24, Fig. 9]; and delivering the event via the network information to the user, wherein delivering the event to the user is not response to a request and/or poll for the event [col. 2, lines 17-38; col. 10, lines 14-52 col. 14, lines 34-57; col. 18, line 59 col. 19, line 10].
- 19. Kamakura does not specifically teach the transmitting protocol is web protocol. "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing for web protocol is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art include web protocol with Kamakura's system because it would make the system more efficiency and reliable.

Art Unit: 2154

Conclusion

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Agraharam et al. (US 6,035,339) discloses a information delivery system;

Munakata (US 6,754,046) discloses a information providing system; and

Nishyama et al. (US 6,725,460) discloses a multi-media data automatic deliver sysstem.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (703) 306-5932. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 09/615,663

Art Unit: 2154

Page 9

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinsong Hu

October 29, 2004

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
BUSORY PATENT EXAMINE